	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/824,810	BROWNING ET AL.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	Thu-Nguyet Le	2169	

All Participants:

(1) _Thu-Nguyet Le_.

(2) _Rudolf Siegesmund_.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _Khanh Pham_.

(4) _____ .

Date of Interview: _26 October 2006_   **Time:** _2:00PM_

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
NONE

Claims discussed:
_1-36_

Prior art documents discussed:
NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____   _____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)   (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner proposed an amendment to place the application in condition for allowance by incorporating the subject matter of claims 2, and 3 into claim 1, claim 20 into claim 19, and cancel claims 2, 3, and 20. The examiner will submit an examiner amendment as authorized by Applicant's representative.